


# READINESS ASSESSMENT

**MOVING TOWARDS A  
COUNTRY-LED AND -FINANCED  
HIV RESPONSE  
FOR KEY POPULATIONS**



This guide was developed by the USAID- and PEPFAR-funded Health Policy Project (HPP), in consultation with PEPFAR, over several months in 2015. To gain country-level input, HPP convened a meeting in November 2015 with key HIV and KP stakeholders in Botswana. After incorporating feedback from that consultation, HPP piloted the assessment tool in Botswana in February 2016. To implement the assessment, HPP convened three separate meetings—with civil society, development partners (including donors and multilaterals), and government—to solicit perspectives from each sector. This step was followed by a roundtable session with all stakeholders who had participated in the three consultations, during which findings were discussed. The roundtable fostered discussion and enabled consensus-building between stakeholders, although different groups were not able to reach consensus on every aspect of the guide. Nevertheless, the process highlighted areas in which stakeholders must work together in the future and served as a platform to build relationships between the parties. It was also a unique opportunity for civil society to voice concerns, when such opportunities have been historically limited. Overwhelmingly, stakeholders valued the process, which may serve as a useful approach for future applications of this guide.

# Overview

Key populations (KPs) are disproportionately affected by HIV but are underserved by current HIV programs in many countries. Even in countries where domestic financing is relatively high, international donors may still be funding a significant portion of KP programming. Therefore, decreases in international donor funding may have an especially negative effect on KPs. As international donors consider transitioning out of countries, special attention and technical assistance will be necessary to ensure that the prevention, care, and treatment needs of KPs are sustainably met.

This guide is designed to assess the ability of a country's stakeholders (including government, development partners, and civil society) to lead and sustain HIV epidemic control among KPs as donors transition to different levels and types of funding. The guide is a flexible tool that assesses readiness across four domains and focuses on the specific vulnerabilities of KPs. It is not an exhaustive list, and users may identify additional areas of technical assistance based on their assessment.

## How to use this assessment guide

This guide can be completed by a variety of stakeholders, and may require an interdisciplinary team to collect data for all four domains. The majority of questions are answered by selecting "yes," "no," or "unclear." Users may record qualitative observations or descriptions in the column labeled "Comments," and indicate the person, office, or document consulted in the column labeled "Source." These qualitative descriptions are necessary to fully understand the unique country context.

Readiness in the four domains may vary across different KP groups. Therefore, users should keep in mind the definition of key populations as follows: **sex workers (SWs), men who have sex with men (MSM), transgender persons (TG), and people who inject drugs (PWID)**. The comments column should be used to identify discrepancies in policies, services, funding, or data across different populations.

After completing questions for each domain, users should summarize (1) the country's main strengths in terms of transition readiness for KPs and (2) the key gaps or priority areas that require focused support to better prepare the country for transition. This summary should account for observations of all stakeholders involved in the assessment.

## Abbreviations

**KP** key population  
**NGO** nongovernmental organization  
**SW** sex worker (FSW: female sex worker;  
MSW: male sex worker)  
**MSM** men who have sex with men

**PWID** people who inject drugs  
**TG** transgender persons  
**CSO** civil society organization  
**CCM** country coordinating mechanism  
**M&E** monitoring and evaluation

# Respondent information

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Country: \_\_\_\_\_

Check the box that classifies representation of respondent or group of respondents:

- Country government official
- International donor (e.g., Global Fund, DFID, DFAT, Gates Foundation)
- NGO service provider
- International NGO
- Civil society organization
- Key population representative
- Multilateral development partner (e.g., UNAIDS, UNDP, UNFPA, WHO)
- U.S. government representative (e.g., PEPFAR, CDC, Peace Corps, USAID)

## DOMAIN A

# Governance, leadership, and accountability

**What readiness looks like:** The government is transparent and held accountable by civil society in achieving planned HIV results, including programming for key populations. Government effectively manages, allocates, and disburses HIV finances. Program progress and results on KPs are widely disseminated, used for planning, and provide accurate information and education on HIV. Government entities create an enabling policy and legal environment, and civil society establishes watchdogging mechanisms to monitor implementation of laws/policies. Civil society organizations representing KPs are active partners in the HIV response and have the capacity to serve and advocate for the needs of KPs. There are two sets of questions for this domain. The first relates to governance, leadership, and accountability within government, and the second relates to the same principles within civil society.

### Domain A(i): Governance, Leadership, and Accountability Within Government

**1. KP designation:** Does the government officially<sup>1</sup> recognize key populations<sup>2</sup>?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**2. KP designation:** Does the government recognize the need for key population programming and/or inclusion in HIV programs and services?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

1. Existence of legal frameworks and policies that are nondiscriminatory toward KPs, and enable health services that are KP-focused and friendly.  
2. In this context, key populations are defined as sex workers, men who have sex with men, transgender persons, and injecting drug users.

**2A.** If yes, please indicate which groups are designated as KPs and note any important background or context

	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> MSM		
<input type="checkbox"/> PWID		
<input type="checkbox"/> FSW		
<input type="checkbox"/> MSW		
<input type="checkbox"/> TG		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____		

---

**3. National strategy:** Does the country have a multi-year, costed national HIV strategy that includes explicit plans/activities to address the needs of KPs?

	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited)		
<input type="checkbox"/> No		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know		

---

**3A.** If yes, are specific budgets developed and allocated to enable the implementation of KP programs and activities?

	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited)		
<input type="checkbox"/> No		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know		

**4. Technical working group:** Is there a national technical working group or task force led by the government that is focused specifically on KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Specify degree of functionality**

**Source**

---

**4A.** Is there a national technical working group or task force led by the government that identifies KPs as one of its priority groups?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Specify degree of functionality**

**Source**

---

**5. Nondiscrimination:** Does the country have nondiscrimination laws or policies that protect specific KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe these policies**

**Source**

**5A.** If yes, at which levels do these laws/policies apply?

- National
- Subnational
- Health facility
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**5B.** To what extent are these laws/policies implemented?

- Fully
- Partially
- Not at all

**Please describe how the policies have been operationalized**

**Source**

---

**6. Structural barriers:** Does the country have laws and/or policies that present barriers to delivery or accessibility of HIV prevention, testing, and treatment services for KPs (e.g., criminalization of behaviors or identities; bans or limits on programs or commodities)?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe these policies**

**Source**



**6A.** At which levels do these laws/policies apply?

- National
- Subnational
- Health facility
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**6B.** To what extent are these laws/policies implemented?

- Fully
- Partially
- Not at all

**Please describe how the policies have been operationalized**

**Source**

---

**7. Right to services:** Does the government recognize the right to nondiscriminatory access to HIV services for key populations?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**7A.** If yes, have efforts been put in place to educate key populations on their rights?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**8. Redress:** Are there mechanisms for members of KPs to seek redress for discrimination or other human rights abuses?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe these mechanisms and at what level they exist (civil society, government, private sector, etc.)**

**Source**

---

**8A.** To what extent are these mechanisms utilized and/or enforced?

**Comments**

**Source**

**9. Enabling environment:** Are there laws or policies that restrict civil society organizations representing KPs from playing an oversight or service delivery role in the HIV response?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe these laws/policies**

**Source**

---

**10. Channels for civil society engagement:** Has government created formal channels or opportunities that allow civil society groups directly working with KPs to engage and provide feedback on HIV policies, programs, and services (not including Global Fund Country Coordinating Mechanism [CCM] civil society engagement requirements)?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe these channels**

**Source**

## Domain A(ii): Governance, Leadership, and Accountability Within Civil Society<sup>4</sup>

**1. KP designation:** Which groups are designated and recognized as KPs by civil society organizations?

- MSM
- PWID
- FSW
- MSW
- TG
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

**Please note any important background or context**

**Source**

**1A.** Does civil society as a whole recognize the need for key population programming and/or inclusion in HIV programs and services?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**2. National strategy:** Does civil society advocate for the inclusion of activities within a multi-year, costed national HIV strategy to address the needs of KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

4. Civil society as a whole (includes community-based organizations, faith-based organizations, other sector-based nongovernmental organizations, etc.) , and not only KP-represented organizations.

**2A.** Have civil society organizations (CSOs) put watchdogging mechanisms in place to monitor budget allocation for KP programs and activities?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe these mechanisms and how they operate**

**Source**

**2A.i.** If no, how has civil society held government accountable for the lack of KP programs and activities in the national response to HIV?

**Comments**

**Source**

**3. Technical working group:** Has civil society advocated for the establishment of a national technical working group or task force led by the government that is focused specifically on KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**4. Nondiscrimination:** Has civil society advocated for the country to have nondiscrimination laws or policies that protect specific KPs?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know	<b>Please describe these policies</b>	<b>Source</b>
--	---------------------------------------	---------------

**5. Structural barriers:** Has civil society monitored laws and/or policies that present barriers to delivery or accessibility of HIV prevention, testing, and treatment services for KPs (e.g., criminalization of behaviors or identities; bans or limits on programs or commodities)?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know	<b>Please describe the mechanisms for monitoring policies</b>	<b>Source</b>
--	---	---------------

**5A.** Does civil society understand the content/language of the laws/policies that present barriers to delivery or accessibility of HIV prevention, testing, and treatment services for KPs?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
--	-----------------	---------------

**5B.** Does civil society have structures in place to monitor laws and policies?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**At which levels (national/subnational/  
community/health facility) do these mechanisms  
exist?**

**Source**

---

**5B.i.** If yes, to what extent have these mechanisms supported civil society to improve its advocacy activities?

**Please describe the impact of monitoring mechanisms**

**Source**

---

**6. Right to services:** Has civil society held government accountable for the right to nondiscriminatory access to HIV services for KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe these efforts**

**Source**

**6A.** Have efforts been put in place by CSOs to educate key populations on their rights to access HIV services?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe**

**Source**

---

**7. Redress:** Has civil society established mechanisms for members of KPs to seek redress for discrimination or other human rights abuses?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe these mechanisms**

**Source**

---

**7A.** To what extent are these mechanisms utilized and/or enforced?

**Comments**

**Source**



**8. Enabling environment:** Have CSOs taken an active role in creating an enabling environment that does not restrict them from representing KPs and playing an oversight or service delivery role in the HIV response?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe the context of this enabling environment**

**Source**

**9. Channels for civil society engagement:** Has civil society created formal channels or opportunities that allow groups representing KPs to engage and provide feedback on HIV policies, programs, and services (not including Global Fund Country Coordinating Mechanism [CCM] civil society engagement requirements or PEPFAR)?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe these channels**

**Source**

**10. Impact of civil society engagement:** Do civil society organizations representing KPs impact policy and national budget decisions related to HIV?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe**

**Source**

**11. Cohesiveness of civil society:** Is civil society well-organized to facilitate advocacy around KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**11A.** Is civil society's advocacy cohesive on issues of KPs, and does civil society as a whole speak from one voice on the needs of these populations?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**For Domain A (i and ii), what are the country's main strengths in terms of transition readiness for KPs?**

---

**For Domain A (i and ii), what priority areas need focused support to prepare the country for transition?**

## DOMAIN B

# National Health System and Service Delivery

**What readiness looks like:** Domestic institutions (inclusive of government, civil society, and the private sector) constitute the primary vehicles through which HIV programs and services targeting key populations are managed and delivered. National, subnational, and local governments have achieved high and appropriate coverage of a range of high-quality, life-saving HIV prevention, care, and treatment services and interventions for key populations. HIV services are accessible and affordable to key populations.

### Domain B: National Health System and Service Delivery

**1. Facility-based services:** Do public health facilities generate and respond to demand for HIV services for KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**1A.** Are there mechanisms in place to monitor the quality and effectiveness of these services for KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**1B.** Do these mechanisms identify and address common barriers that inhibit access to services for KPs, such as stigma and discrimination?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**2. Community-based services:** Are there standardized community-based HIV services targeting KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Describe the components/ingredients of the community-based services**

**Source**

If yes, has the country standardized community-based HIV services targeting KPs through any of the following?

**2A.** National guidelines on implementing HIV services targeting KPs

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**2B.** Formalized mechanisms of participation by KPs and/or civil society organizations representing KPs in service delivery or oversight

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive)	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited)		
<input type="checkbox"/> No		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know		

---

**2C.** Official recognition of community health workers (e.g., peer health workers) as part of a skilled workforce for KP programming

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive)	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited)		
<input type="checkbox"/> No		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know		

---

**2D.** Financial support for community-based services targeting KPs

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive)	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited)		
<input type="checkbox"/> No		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know		

**3. Program standards:** Do national guidelines for KPs exist?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**3A.** If yes, may KPs access services without meeting certain criteria?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**If applicable, define the types of criteria that may inhibit access to services (e.g., CD4 count eligibility, etc.)**

**Source**

---

**3B.** Are healthcare workers required to receive training and sensitization in working with KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**If yes, at what point (pre-service or in-service) does the training or sensitization occur?**

**Source**

**3C.** Does a clear antidiscrimination policy and code of conduct govern all services?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**3D.** Are KP representatives involved in planning for service provision for KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**3E.** Is a mechanism in place to receive regular anonymous feedback from clients?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**



**3F.** Is there a clear, defined system of accountability to ensure that patients can report problems when minimum standards for service provision are not met at a site?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**3G.** Is client confidentiality strictly required for all services?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**4. Accountability for quality of services:** Does civil society monitor the quality of service delivery to ensure that services are delivered in accordance with national guidelines and standards?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**For Domain B, what are the country's main strengths in terms of transition readiness for KPs?**

---

**For Domain B, what priority areas need focused support to prepare the country for transition?**

## DOMAIN C

# Strategic Investments, Efficiency, and Sustainable Financing

**What readiness looks like:** The government is aware of the financial resources required to effectively and efficiently meet its national HIV prevention, care, and treatment targets for KPs. The host country government actively seeks, solicits, and/or generates the necessary financial resources; ensures sufficient resource commitments; and uses data to strategically allocate funding and maximize investments.

### Domain C: Strategic Investments, Efficiency, and Sustainable Financing

1. **Total HIV budget:** What is the estimated national annual budget for HIV? \_\_\_\_\_

2. **Domestic budget:** Does the Ministry of Health explicitly account for KPs in its budget?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

2A. If yes, what percentage of the total domestic HIV budget is allocated to KPs?

- 0–5%
- 6–20%
- 20–75%
- 75–100%
- Don't know

**Please describe how the budget allocation for KPs is divided by subcomponent (i.e., prevention, treatment, care, support, etc.)**

**Source**

**3. Donor financial support:** What percentage of HIV services targeting KPs are supported by donor financing?

- 0–5%
- 6–20%
- 20–75%
- 75–100%
- Don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**3A.** What sector is the predominate recipient of donor funds for KP programs?

- Government
- Civil Society
- Private Sector
- Other \_\_\_\_\_
- Don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**4. Domestic funding for civil society:** What is the source of funding for KP programs within civil society?

- Government
- Civil Society
- Private Sector
- Other \_\_\_\_\_
- Don't know

**What is the percentage of funding received from each source?**

**Source**

**5. Domestic spending:** Are there mechanisms in place to track expenditure across various levels (government, civil society, private sector, donors, etc.)?

	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited)		
<input type="checkbox"/> No		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know		

---

**5A.** If yes, do these mechanisms track expenditure on KP programs?

	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited)		
<input type="checkbox"/> No		
<input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know		

---

**5B.** What percentage of the total budget allocated for KPs is spent?

	<b>Please describe the types of activities or interventions funded</b>	<b>Source</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 0–5%		
<input type="checkbox"/> 6–20%		
<input type="checkbox"/> 20–75%		
<input type="checkbox"/> 75–100%		
<input type="checkbox"/> Don't know		

**6. Donor technical support:** What percentage of HIV services targeting KPs are supported by external technical assistance from donors?

- 0–5%
- 6–20%
- 20–75%
- 75–100%
- Don't know

**Please describe the type of technical assistance provided**

**Source**

---

**6A.** What percentage of technical assistance is required by civil society from donors?

- 0–5%
- 6–20%
- 20–75%
- 75–100%
- Don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**7. Equitable distribution of funding:** Do donors assess the funding needs of civil society?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**7A.** Do donors assess how effectively funds have been apportioned to ensure that responsive funding is equitably distributed among CSOs?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know	<b>Specify the process used</b>	<b>Source</b>
--	---------------------------------	---------------

**8. Resource allocation process:** Does the country government utilize a recognized data-driven model to inform the allocation of domestic (i.e., non-donor) public HIV resources?

<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes: Spectrum <input type="checkbox"/> Yes: Goals <input type="checkbox"/> Yes: AEM <input type="checkbox"/> Yes: MOTL <input type="checkbox"/> Yes: Other <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know	<b>Please describe the model and its application</b>	<b>Source</b>
---	--	---------------

**9. Expenditure transparency:** Does the country government make annual HIV expenditure data pertaining to KPs—or, at minimum, a summary of it—available to stakeholders and the public?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
--	-----------------	---------------

**9A.** In what format is the data available (raw data, summary report, etc.)?

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**9B.** How frequently is the data disseminated?

- Daily
- Weekly
- Monthly
- Quarterly
- Annually
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**

**Source**



**For Domain C, what are the country's main strengths in terms of transition readiness for KPs?**

---

**For Domain C, what priority areas need focused support to prepare the country for transition?**

# DOMAIN D

## Strategic Information

**What readiness looks like:** Using local and national systems, the government collects, analyzes, and makes available timely, comprehensive, and high-quality HIV data (including epidemiological, economic/financial, and performance data) pertaining to key populations that can be used to inform policy, program, and funding decisions.

### Domain D: Strategic Information and Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E)

**1. KP surveys and surveillance:** Does the government do any of the following?

**1A.** Lead and manage planning and implementation of KP epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities (size estimation studies, etc.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Source</b>
--	-----------------	---------------

**1B.** Include KPs in the planning and implementation of KP epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes (definitive) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (moderate) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (limited) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear/don't know	<b>Please describe the level of partnership and engagement</b>	<b>Source</b>
--	--	---------------

**1C.** Fund KP epidemiological surveys and/or behavioral surveillance activities

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**1D.** Use data collected by civil society on KPs to inform national strategies and processes

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Please describe context in which this data was used**

**Source**

---

**2. Comprehensiveness of KP HIV data:** Does the government collect the following data disaggregated by KPs?

**2A.** National HIV prevalence and incidence data

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**2B.** Subnational HIV prevalence and incidence data

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**2C.** Viral load data

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**2D.** Service delivery for programs targeting KPs (by population, program, and geographic area)

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**3. Data sources and use:** What data sources are used for collection of HIV information on KPs?

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**4. Data analysis:** Does the government routinely analyze service delivery data to measure program performance (i.e., continuum of care cascade, coverage, retention, AIDS-related mortality rates) among KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**4A.** What structures are in place to govern KP studies at the national level (e.g., national AIDS impact surveys)?

**Comments**

**Source**

**4B.** Are there KP focal points in national offices to coordinate the studies?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**4C.** Are research committees and technical working groups overseeing studies inclusive of KPs?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**5. Surveillance and survey transparency:** Does the government ensure that HIV surveillance and survey data pertaining to KPs are made available to stakeholders and the general public?

- Yes (definitive)
- Yes (moderate)
- Yes (limited)
- No
- Unclear/don't know

**Comments**

**Source**

**5A.** If yes, in what format (raw data, summary report, etc.)?

**Comments**

**Source**

---

**5B.** How frequently is the data disseminated?

- Daily
- Weekly
- Monthly
- Quarterly
- Annually
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments**

**Source**

**For Domain D, what are the country's main strengths in terms of transition readiness for KPs?**

---

**For Domain D, what priority areas need focused support to prepare the country for transition?**



## Notes

## Notes





## Contact Us

Health Policy Project  
1331 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20004  
[www.healthpolicyproject.com](http://www.healthpolicyproject.com)  
[policyinfo@futuresgroup.com](mailto:policyinfo@futuresgroup.com)

The Health Policy Project is a five-year cooperative agreement funded by the U.S. Agency for International Development under Agreement No. AID-OAA-A-10-00067, beginning September 30, 2010. The project's HIV activities are supported by the U.S. President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR). HPP is implemented by Futures Group, in collaboration with Plan International USA, Avenir Health (formerly Futures Institute), Partners in Population and Development, Africa Regional Office (PPD ARO), Population Reference Bureau (PRB), RTI International, and the White Ribbon Alliance for Safe Motherhood (WRA).

The information provided in this document is not official U.S. Government information and does not necessarily represent the views or positions of the U.S. Agency for International Development.